

Gaps between consecutive primes

$$\mathbb{P} := \{\text{primes}\} = \{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \nearrow$$

$$\pi(x) := \mathbb{P} \cap [1, x].$$

$$\text{PNT} : \pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x} \sim \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t} \Rightarrow \text{density function for } \mathbb{P} = \frac{1}{\log x}.$$



$$p_n \sim n \log n \Rightarrow \text{average gap } p_{n+1} - p_n \approx \log n \sim \log p_n$$

Q! What is the distribution of $p_{n+1} - p_n$?

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) = \infty.$$

Bertrand's postulate

$$\Rightarrow p_{n+1} < 2p_n.$$

$$\text{RH} \Rightarrow p_{n+1} - p_n = O(\sqrt{p_n} \log p_n).$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) \stackrel{?}{=} 2$$

More precisely, what is

$$(1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \# \left\{ p \leq x : \frac{p_{\text{next}} - p}{\log p} \in [a, b] \right\} ? \quad \text{Twin prime pair}$$

$$2996863034895 \cdot 2^{1290000} \pm 1$$

Here $0 \leq a < b$ are fixed.

Correspondingly, one may ask what is

$$(2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \# \{ n \leq x : \pi(n + \lambda \log n) - \pi(n) = k \} ?$$

Here $\lambda > 0$ and $k \geq 0$ are given.

Answer: We don't know. But we have a few reasonable conjectures.

Cramér's Model

Let $1_{\mathbb{P}}$ be the indicator function of $\mathbb{P}$, i.e.,

$$1_{\mathbb{P}}(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{P}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Cramér's model: $1_{\mathbb{P}}$ behaves roughly like a sequence $\{X(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of

independent Bernoulli random variables $X(n)$ defined by $X(1) = 0$, $X(2) = 1$, and $\forall n \geq 3$,

$$X(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{\log n}, \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - \frac{1}{\log n}. \end{cases}$$

Accepting Cramér's model, let us provide an answer to (1). Let

$p \in \mathbb{P}$ be a large prime. So $X(p) = 1$. For every $h \in \mathbb{N}$, the probability

that $X(p+1) = \dots = X(p+h-1) = 0$ and $X(p+h) = 1$ (i.e., $p_{\text{next}} = p+h$) is

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(p+1)}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(p+2)}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log(p+h-1)}\right) \frac{1}{\log(p+h)}.$$

If h is small compared to p , say $h \asymp \log p$, the above is

$$\sim \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log p}\right)^{h-1} \frac{1}{\log p} = \exp\left((h-1)\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{\log p}\right)\right) \frac{1}{\log p}$$

$$\sim e^{-\frac{h-1}{\log p}} \frac{1}{\log p}.$$

Given $0 \leq a < b$, the probability that $p_{\text{next}} \in [p + a \log p, p + b \log p]$ is

$$\approx \sum_{h \in [a \log p, b \log p]} e^{-\frac{h-1}{\log p}} \frac{1}{\log p} \approx \sum_{\frac{h}{\log p} \in [a, b]} e^{-\frac{h}{\log p}} \frac{1}{\log p} \approx \int_a^b e^{-t} dt.$$

Conjecture 1. Given $0 \leq a < b$, we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \# \{p \leq x : p_{\text{next}} \in [p + a \log p, p + b \log p]\} = \int_a^b e^{-t} dt.$$

In other words, $\frac{p_{\text{next}} - p}{\log p}$ behaves like a random variable for exponential distribution with parameter 1.

Conjecture 2. Given $\lambda > 0$ and $k \geq 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \cdot \# \{ 2 \leq n \leq x : \pi(n + \lambda \log n) - \pi(n) = k \} = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}.$$

In other words, the limit distribution of the number of primes in intervals of length $\asymp \log n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is a Poisson distribution.

This is because $P(X(j) = 1 \text{ for precisely } k \text{ of the } j\text{'s in } [n+1, n + \lambda \log n])$ is

$$\sim \binom{[\lambda \log n]}{k} \left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log n}\right)^{[\lambda \log n] - k} \sim \frac{(\lambda \log n)^k}{k!} \left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)^k e^{-\frac{\lambda \log n}{\log n}} \sim \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}.$$

The Hardy-Littlewood Prime k-tuples Conjecture

Conjecture 3 (Hardy-Littlewood) Let $\mathcal{H} = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a set of $k \geq 1$ monic polynomials of degree 1. Then

$$\# \{ n \leq x : f_1(n), \dots, f_k(n) \in \mathbb{P} \} \sim \mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{H}) \int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log t)^k} \sim \mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{H}) \frac{x}{(\log x)^k}.$$

Here
$$G(\mathcal{H}) := \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-k} \left(1 - \frac{v_{\mathcal{H}}(p)}{p}\right),$$

where
$$v_{\mathcal{H}}(p) := \# \{ f_i(0) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p : 1 \leq i \leq k \}.$$

$k=1 \Leftrightarrow \text{PNT}.$

$k=2 \Leftrightarrow \text{The Twin Prime Conjecture!}$

$$\pi_2(x) := \# \{ p \leq x : p+2 \in \mathbb{P} \} \sim 2C_2 \cdot \frac{x}{(\log x)^2}, \text{ where}$$

$$C_2 = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right).$$

Cramér's model would yield a different value for C_2 , mainly

because n and $n+2$ are clearly dependent.

Nevertheless, one can show Conjecture 3 $\Rightarrow$ Conjectures 1 & 2.

Heuristics for the Hardy-Littlewood Conjecture based on Cramér's Model

Let $p \geq 2$ be a prime. Cramér's model predicts

$$\#\{n \leq x : f_1(n), \dots, f_k(n) \in \mathbb{P}\} \sim \frac{x}{(\log x)^k} \quad (*)$$

by assuming the events $p \nmid f_1(n), \dots, p \nmid f_k(n)$ are mutually independent for

a randomly chosen n . But this assumption is clearly faulty. In fact,

$\mathbb{P}(p \nmid f_1(n), \dots, p \nmid f_k(n))$ is $1 - \frac{\nu_H(p)}{p}$ rather than $(1 - \frac{1}{p})^k$. To

adjust our prediction, we need to multiply the RHS of $(*)$ by

$$\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \left(1 - \frac{\nu_H(p)}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-k} = \mathfrak{S}(H) \text{ and assume that local condition } p \nmid f_1(n),$$

$\dots, p \nmid f_k(n)$ is sufficient for the existence of infinitely many prime tuples.

Large Gaps between Primes

If $n \geq 2$, then $n! + 2, n! + 3, \dots, n! + n$ are all composite. Hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) = \infty.$$

Cramér's model suggests

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log p_n} = \infty, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{(\log p_n)^2} = C.$$

This was proved by Westzynthius (1931).

$$\text{Westzynthius (1931): } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\frac{\log p_n \cdot \log_3 p_n}{\log_4 p_n}} \geq C_1$$

$$\text{Erdős (1935): } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\frac{\log p_n \cdot \log_2 p_n}{(\log_3 p_n)^2}} \geq C_2.$$

Rankin (1938) :

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\frac{\log p_n \cdot \log_2 p_n \cdot \log_4 p_n}{(\log_3 p_n)^2}} \geq C_3.$$

Ford - Green - Konyagin - Tao (2014) and Maynard (2014) :

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\frac{\log p_n \cdot \log_2 p_n \cdot \log_4 p_n}{(\log_3 p_n)^2}} = \infty.$$

Ford - Green - Konyagin - Maynard - Tao (2018) :

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\frac{\log p_n \cdot \log_2 p_n \cdot \log_4 p_n}{\log_3 p_n}} \geq C_4.$$

Tao conjectures

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\frac{\log p_n \cdot \log_2 p_n \cdot \log_4 p_n}{\log_3 p_n}} = \infty.$$

Here $\log_k x := \underbrace{\log \log \dots \log}_k x$.

Small Gaps between Primes

Cramér's model suggests

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log p_n} = 0.$$

This was confirmed by Goldston, Pintz and Yıldırım (2005).

$$\text{Zhang (2013)} : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) < 7 \cdot 10^7.$$

$$\text{Polymath (2013)} : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) < 4680.$$

$$\text{Maynard (2013)} : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) < 600.$$

$$\text{Polymath (2014)} : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) < 246.$$

$$\text{Twin Prime Conjecture} : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p_n) = 2.$$

Heuristics for Conjecture 2 $\Rightarrow$ Conjecture 1.

$$A_k(x) := \# \{ 2 \leq n \leq x : \pi(n + \lambda \log n) - \pi(n) = k \} \sim \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} x$$

$$\Rightarrow \# \{ p \leq x : \pi(p + \lambda \log p) - \pi(p) = k \}$$

$$= \sum_{n \leq x-1} A_k(n) (1_p(n) - 1_p(n+1)) + A_k(x) \cdot 1_p(\lfloor x \rfloor)$$

$$\approx \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} \left(\sum_{n \leq x-1} n (1_p(n) - 1_p(n+1)) + \lfloor x \rfloor \cdot 1_p(\lfloor x \rfloor) \right) = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} \pi(x).$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \# \{ p \leq x : \pi(p + \lambda \log p) - \pi(p) = k \} = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \# \{ p \leq x : p_{\text{next}} \in (p + a \log p, p + b \log p] \}$$

$$= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \left(\# \{ p \leq x : \pi(p + a \log p) - \pi(p) = 0 \} - \# \{ p \leq x : \pi(p + b \log p) - \pi(p) = 0 \} \right)$$

$$= e^{-a} - e^{-b} = \int_a^b e^{-t} dt,$$

Hardy-Littlewood $\Rightarrow$ Conjecture 2

$$\sum_{1 \leq h_1, \dots, h_k \leq h} \pi(x; \{h_1, \dots, h_k\})$$

Thm (Gallagher) $\sum_{1 \leq h_1 < \dots < h_k \leq h} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}_k) \sim \sum_{1 \leq h_1 < \dots < h_k \leq h} 1$ as $h \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $M_k(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} (\pi(n+h) - \pi(n))^k$. Then

$$M_k(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{1 \leq h_1, \dots, h_k \leq h} 1 = \sum_{1 \leq h_1, \dots, h_k \leq h} \pi(x; \mathcal{H}_k) = \sum_{r=1}^k \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ r \end{matrix} \right\} r! \sum_{1 \leq h_1 < \dots < h_r \leq h} \pi(x; \mathcal{H}_r)$$

where $\left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ r \end{matrix} \right\}$ is Stirling's number of the 2nd kind, $\mathcal{H}_r := \{h_1, \dots, h_r\}$ and

$$\pi(x; \mathcal{H}_r) := \#\{n \leq x : n+h_1, \dots, n+h_r \in \mathbb{P}\} \sim \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H}_r) \frac{x}{(\log x)^r}.$$

Thus $M_k(x) \sim \sum_{r=1}^k \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ r \end{matrix} \right\} r! \left(\frac{h}{\log x} \right)^r \frac{x}{(\log x)^r} \sim \sum_{r=1}^k \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ r \end{matrix} \right\} \left(\frac{h}{\log x} \right)^r x$.

For $h \sim \lambda \log x$, $M_k(x) \sim \sum_{r=1}^k \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ r \end{matrix} \right\} \lambda^r x$. But $\sum_{r=1}^k \left\{ \begin{matrix} k \\ r \end{matrix} \right\} \lambda^r$ is the

k th moment of a Poisson random variable with parameter λ .